UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

TERRY DAVIS,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) Case No. 1:15-cv-01207-TWP-DKL
PENDLETON CORR. FACILITY, BLAKE THRASHER Ofc. / Prison Guard, A KRAUS Ofc. Sgt. / Prison Guard, DAVID MASON Ofc. Lt. /Prison Guard, C. COOPERIDER, K. KARKOSKY, D. ZATECKY, L. LEDYARD, STATON Lt., RINEHART Sgt., D. ALSIP, J. HAMMACK, W. SCAIFE, OMBUDSMAN, EDWARDS Nurse, FRANCUM,	
Defendants.	,

Entry Dismissing Duplicative Action

This action is dismissed without prejudice because it is a duplicative of the claims pending in another civil action. A lawsuit is duplicative if the "claims, parties, and available relief do not significantly differ between the two actions." *Serlin v. Arthur Andersen & Co.*, 3 F.3d 221, 223 (7th Cir. 1993) (*quoting Ridge Gold Standard Liquors v. Joseph E. Seagram*, 572 F. Supp. 1210, 1213 (N.D. Ill. 1983) (*citations omitted*)). That is the case here. This civil action is identical in all material respects to *Davis v. Zatecky*, Case No. 1:15-cv-1206-TWP-TAB. There is no reason for duplicative lawsuits which drain scarce judicial resources. Accordingly, **this action is dismissed without prejudice**. *See Rizzo v. City of Wheaton, Ill.*, 462 Fed. Appx. 609, 613, 2011 WL 5903823, 3 (7th Cir. 2011) ("District courts have ample discretion to dismiss duplicative litigation. . . .");

Trippe Mfg. Co. v. Am. Power Conversion Corp., 46 F.3d 624, 629 (7th Cir. 1995) ("Federal district courts have the inherent power to administer their dockets so as to conserve scarce judicial resources.")

All pending motions [dkts. 3, 4 and 5] are denied as moot.

Judgment consistent with this Entry shall now issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 8/10/2015

TANYA WALTON PRATT, JUDGE

any Walton Craft

United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

TERRY DAVIS
966898
PENDLETON CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
Electronic Service Participant – Court Only